Professorship of Public Health and Prevention

TUM school of Medicine and Health Department Health and Sport Sciences



Submission checklist

Formal aspects		Yes	No
Template, layout and structure	Did you use the provided template? Does the thesis follow the recommended layout? Did you check that the layout is clean and consistent throughout the thesis? Did you structure the thesis using the required paragraphs (Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion) and meaningful subparagraphs?		
Length Tables and Figures References	Is the thesis between 6.000 and 7.000 words? Did you include 5-6 tables or figures? Did you embed all figures/tables in text and did you reference them in text? Did you apply a consistent and correct reference citation system in the text and in the reference list?		
Main text body			
Abstract Introduction	Is your abstract a concise and informative summary of the <i>most important</i> aspects of your work? Is your introduction structured as (1) compelling problem statement and public health relevance, (2) available evidence on the topic and literature gaps, (3) motivation for the study, research question, (hypotheses) and mechanisms.		
Methods	Is your methods section structured in meaningful subparagraphs that help comprehension? Are all relevant details described such that a replication of the study is possible? For empirical studies: data source and analysis steps For reviews: details related to search strategy, study selection, data extraction and synthesis.		
Results	Did you cite the protocol for the study? Is your results section structured in meaningful subparagraphs that help comprehension, ideally mirroring the structure of the methods section? For empirical studies: Did you present one main analysis and all other analyses as sensitivity analyses / secondary analyses / subgroup analyses / robustness checks? Did you check that all reported steps / tests /analyses described in the results section were correctly and consequently intoduced in the methods section? (i.e. no unannounced new analyses / tests should pop up in the results section)		
Discussion	Is your discussion structured as follows: (1) overall / critical summary of your results from the main analysis and meaningful secondary analyses (2) interpretation of results and comparison with previous literature (3) strengths and limitations of your study (4) implications for policy and practice (5) conclusions		
Appendix/Supp	Did you include a meaningful and concise conclusion that answers your research question?		
	Did you include ALL specified documents in the appendix? (Different depending on the type of study, please refer to the guidelines) Did you reference all appendices in text in the correct order in your main text?		

PROFESSOR Prof. Dr. Michael Laxy HOMEPAGE https://www.sg.tum.de/php OFFICE

Uptown München - Campus D